Rathore Poonam
2014.07.09 15:58
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.M.Nos. 4336-37-CII of 2007 &
C.M. No.6546-47-CII of 2010 in
C.O.C.P. No. 956 of 2006
Date of Decision:- 07.07.2014

Jagan Nath ....Petitioner(s)

vs.

B.R. Bajaj ....Respondent(s)

***

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

***

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anuj Sharma, Advocate.

***

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Order dated 25.11.2005, non-compliance of which has been alleged by the petitioner, required the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in the legal notice by passing a speaking order thereon, which has since been done.

In view of the above, when the orders of this Court stand complied with, no purpose will be served by reviving the Contempt petition. The applications are, therefore, disposed of leaving the petitioner with liberty to challenge the order so passed by the respondents in case of his having any surviving grievance.

July 07, 2014 **(MAHESH GROVER)**
poonam **JUDGE**